

# Sustainability constraints for insect protein meal production

Radu Popa River Road Research (Buffalo, NY)



# **Cultured insects**

Honey bees

Silk moths

Agriculture controllers (predators, parasites, pollinators) (ladybugs, caterpillar parasite wasps, bees)

Insects as food (FDA) (mealworms, crickets, locusts)



Insects as feed (AAFCO) (*Hermetia illucens*; BSF)



RIVERR

#### **General benefits of insects**

- High diversity (taxonomy & physiology)
- Fast growth
- Less space and water to produce (per kg of protein)
- Simpler but efficient immunology (AMPs) (w. Cecropins and Defensins)



### Efficiency of food waste conversion



1,000 kg food waste 70-80% water



200-300 kg DW iMeal 13-14.5 % Protein 4-11 % Fat



40-75 kg pMeal 53-55 % Protein 8-12 % Fat 70 kg DW Frass





### Land efficiency

#### Composting

 $1 \text{ mt/day } 200-700 \text{ m}^2$ 

#### Anaerobic digestion

 $1 \text{ mt/day } 25 \text{ m}^2$ 

#### BSF

1 mt/day 60 m<sup>2</sup> 4 acres for a city with 1 million people (@ 0.1 mt/person/year) 1 mt food waste => 40-75 kg pMeal 70 kg DW frass



# Effects of fish meal substitution with BSF pMeal Red Drum Diets (*Sciaenops ocellatus*)





# Take home slide Constrains to feed insects

1) Life cycle

2) Interaction w. other life forms

War w. fungi; mycoinsecticides vs. cecropin-AMPs (Stomoxyn)

Culture-specific parasites and pests

Limited knowledge about BSF diseases

3) Physiology

Heterotrophs => loss in CNP&E

Compete for feeds with other farm animals

Biomagnification of pesticides

4) Nutrition safety

Food-safe insects compete with us for quality feeds Sloppy eaters are cheaper ... but have limitations

5) Larvae feed quality6) Protein & fats quality7) Production costs





RIVER ROAD

# Larvae feed quality

#### **Feed composition**

Organic nitrogen, carbohydrates, fats, vitamins, mycotoxins,

**Feed pre-processing** Refrigeration, Drying, Cooking, Fermentation.

**Feed sources** Some feeds will never make it toward feeds (manure, sewage sludge; pesticide-contaminated)

Some feeds are of secondary/local interest (post-consumer food wastes; leafy vegetables; yard clippings; algae)



Liquids - 70% of mass input

Industrial byproducts and commercial waste (fruit pulp, vegetable trimmings, wheyt, shelf-expired food, small-scale brewery and distillery waste)

### **Protein & fats quality**

Fat content is very high and composition variable (lauric acid is high)

Digestibility

Protein/melanin complex. (2 heating steps) Maillard rxn. materials?

#### Hazards

Pathogens Microbial toxins Allergens

No industry standards for pMeal quality (feed sources and the drying method are the main causes of product variability)



### **Production costs**



3.5-7.5 \$/kg BSF meal

1.5-1.7 \$/kg Fish meal

0.5-0.7 \$/kg Soy meal



### Take home slide Strategies to survive the ride

- Legislation (tipping fees & carbon credits)
- Automation
- Diversify revenue (tipping fees, frass, fats, treats, polymers, AMPs)
- Split the industry

(eggs / larvae growing / biomass processing)

- High quality protein (solvent defatting, less denaturation)
- Low BSF protein addition to feeds

(to temper soy antinutritional factors or for AMPs)

- Target specialized feed markets

(e.g. predatory fish & customer-targeted feeds)

- BSF for waste reduction

(pig manure, spoiled food waste, industrial organic wastes

# Thank you!

# (any questions?)

Radu Popa (radu.o.popa@gmail.com) River Road Research (Buffalo, NY)

**RIVER ROAD**