Assessment of an Alternate Frequency Pinger to Mitigate Seal Interaction in the Northeast Sink Gillnet Fishery

Cornell University Cooperative Extension of Suffolk County (CCE)

Marine Program

In Collaboration with Fishtek Marine and the Commercial Monkfish Sink Gillnet Fishery of the Northeast

Funding Provided by NOAA's Saltonstall-Kennedy Grant Program

The problem

- Low frequency pingers (10kHz) mandated by the US to mitigate Harbor Porpoise bycatch can be heard by seals
- Seals associate pingered nets with food dinner bell effect
- It is thought this increases incidences of seal bycatch and depredation

The proposed solution

• Trial higher frequency pingers that cant be heard by seals but still deter Harbor Porpoise

Potential outcomes

- Continued high levels of Harbor Porpoise bycatch reduction
- Reduced seal-fisher interactions
- Reduced seal mortality and depredation events



What we know from around the world



Øien & Haug, 2017

Norway - focused on harbour porpoise Trialed low frequency (10kHz) Reduced HP bycatch Scientists found that the bycatch of harbor seal (*Phoca vitulina*) in set net fisheries was three times higher than using no pingers or nets equipped with 50-120kHz

Moan & Bjørge 2023

Trialed high frequency (50-120kHz)

Reduced HP bycatch by 94% High frequency pingers had no significant effect on catch rates of seals or fishes in cod and monkfish nets

Pingers

| | US HPTRP and POTRP | Proposed for trials(but specifications mandated in Norway and Europe) |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Frequency | 10 kHz with harmonics | 60 kHz-120 kHz with harmonics |
| Advanced Acoustics | N/A | Randomized pings with harmonics* |
| Sound Level | 132dB+/-3dB@ 1m | 145dB+/-3dB@ 1m |
| Spacing | 100m | 200m |
| Dimensions/weight(with battery) | 185mm x 52mm x 42mm 229 grams | 185mm x 52mm x 42mm 229 grams |
| Battery life | 12 months with average use (50% immersion time) | 12 months with average use (50% immersion time) |

- Randomized pings prohibits habituation
- Transmits outside the audible range of seals

Cornwall Wildlife Trust, 2013

Blind tests using Atlantic gray seals (wild and captive) in an enclosed test pool Demonstrated that there was no significant attraction of Atlantic gray seals to the activated Fishtek Marine "Seal-Safe" pinger compared with the control deactivated Fishtek Marine "Seal-Safe" pinger.

Investigation into the attraction of Atlantic grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) to the Fishtek Banana Pinger

April 2013 A report by: Cornwall Wildlife Trust. Cornwall Seal Group. Cornish Seal Sanctuary.







High frequencies (60+) are well outside the optimal hearing range of seals

Seals must be very close to this frequency to hear it

High frequency sounds rapidly diminish in sea water



Low frequencies (10kHz) are in the optimal hearing range of seals

Seals can hear this frequency at a much lower volume

Low frequency sounds travel further



Proposed Project

- Commercial fishing industry partners contacted CCE in 2020 to discuss new technology to avoid seal interactions.
- CCE was selected for funding from the Saltonstall-Kennedy Grant Program in 2021.
- Paired sea trials to occur aboard a commercial monkfish vessel from Montauk, NY.
 - ~44 trips will occur southeast of Montauk in NMFS statistical area 537.



Project Gear Description

- This study will utilize a standard large mesh sink gillnet design for all nets tested for this project. Panels of 300 ft. (91.4m) in length and consisting of 12 meshes high of 12" (30.5 cm) stretch mesh with 42" tie-downs will be used. Panels will be constructed using Chatham green webbing of 0.90mm
- Ten panels, each 300 ft. long, joined together constitute a "string".
- A total of 6 strings: 3 strings of control gillnet with regulated pinger, and 3 strings of gillnet with experimental pinger.
- 1,320 nets per treatment for a total of 2,640 nets will be set and hauled for this project.
- We will randomize the net area placement.
- To reduce pinger influence we will set gear 3 miles away.
- The soak duration will be standard for regular fishing activity, 1-3 days.

Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) Component

- PAM will be used to test any potential impacts of habituation and esonification.
- Specialized cycling pingers that alternate between being active and inactive will be used.
- Passive Acoustic Monitoring devices (CPODs) will be deployed at 0m and 100m from the experimental cycling pinger (the mid-distance of the recommended spacing of 200m) and 0m and 46m from the 10kHz cycling pinger (the mid-distance of the legislated 92 meters).
- Both acoustic arrays will record porpoise activity (clicks per minute) when the pinger is active or inactive at both the near and far CPOD devices for both pinger types.

PAM Component (continued)

 Performing an additional layer of data collection using PAM will reveal when porpoise are in the area and not being captured, thus validating the effects of the acoustic device.



10kHz cycling pinger



Stakeholder Engagement

- Project inception proposed by commercial fishing industry.
- CCE has performed project fishing industry outreach upon funding selection.
- GARFO, NEFMC and MAFMC are aware of this proposed research.
- Research needs expressed and research priorities set.



Questions???



